

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/578,301	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUEHIRO, NAOKI	
	<b>Examiner</b> HIRDEPAL SINGH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) HIRDEPAL SINGH.

(2) Robert Y. Raheja.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 7 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Some informalities in the independent claims discussed and the scope of the dependent claim 12 regarding a data structure and its relevance to a user was discussed, Applicant agreed to cancel claim 12 to resolve any potetial issues and also an agreement was reached to fix the informalities by an examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)